UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		and the second s		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,966	03/08/2004	Gary Marshik	BSI-036	8628
51414 GOODWIN PF	7590 06/05/200' ROCTER LLP		EXAMINER	
	MINISTRATOR		STRIMBU, GREGORY J	
EXCHANGE PLACE BOSTON, MA 02109-2881			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,			3634	<u> </u>
	<i>,</i>			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/795,966	MARSHIK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory J. Strimbu	3634
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a removed in the community of the community o	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0. This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1 Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the conditi	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matt	•
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6-9,11,14,15,17 and 19</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 4, 6-9, 11, 14, 15, 17, 19</u> is/are 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date normal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 6-9, 11, 14, 15, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wahlfeld et al. in view of Trout. Wahlfeld et al. discloses a balance shoe for use in a window jamb, comprising: a slide block 169 comprising a lower end (not numbered, but shown in figure 6), a front surface (not numbered, but shown on the left hand side in figure 6), a rear surface (not numbered, but shown on the right hand side in figure 6), and oppositely disposed sliding surfaces (not numbered, but shown in figure 3 as the surfaces of the slide block perpendicular to the front and rear surfaces) connecting the front surface with the rear surface, the sliding surfaces adapted for guiding the slide block when installed in the jamb; a pivoting locking member 170 coupled to the slide block and biased into a locking position when installed in the jamb, the pivoting locking member extending beyond only one of the sliding surfaces (as shown in figure 6) and the lower end 177 of the slide block at least when the pivoting locking member is in the locking position, wherein the pivot locking member comprises a tooth 182 for engaging the window jamb and is biased into the locking position by a spring 184; and a camming surface 183 disposed on the pivoting locking member that, upon application of a force, retracts the pivoting locking member from the

Application/Control Number: 10/795,966

Art Unit: 3634

locking position, the balance shoe is made from the polymer nylon as set forth on lines3-5 of column 8, a window balance 72. Wahlfeld et al. is silent concerning teeth.

However, Trout discloses a balance shoe wherein a pivoting locking member 86 includes teeth 116.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Wahlfeld et al. with teeth, as taught by Trout, to enable the pivoting locking member to more securely grip the jamb.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 8, 2007 have been fully considered but they moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS NOT MADE FINAL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 571-272-6836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/795,966

Art Unit: 3634

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregory J. Strimbu

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

May 26, 2007